

September 25 2001



Magalie R. Salas Office of the Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 - 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554 SEP 2 6 2001 FCC MAIL ROOM

Re: In the Matter of Federal State Joint Board on Universal Service, CC Docket No. 96-45.

Dear Ms. Salas:

I represent the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ("PUCO") in this proceeding and I am writing to you in that capacity.

Accompanying this letter is a copy of a Finding & Order (F&O) released by the PUCO on September 13, 2001. This F&O was issued pursuant to the FCC's directive set forth in CC Docket No. 96-45, which required each State commission to certify that all of the State's rural carriers—are eligible to receive federal high-cost support (including high cost loop support, local switching support, high cost support received pursuant to the purchase of exchanges, high cost model support, and hold harmless support) and will use such funding only for the provision, maintenance, and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended, consistent with § 254(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

As you can see from the attached Order, every Ohio rural carrier previously identified by the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) as eligible to receive the aforementioned federal USF support has filed with the PUCO a sworn affidavit demonstrating their intent to utilize such funding in a manner consistent with Section § 254(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

In addition to the rural carrier F&O, we have attached a copy of an affidavit from one of Ohio's non-rural carriers, Alltel Ohio. As with the F&O, this affidavit is also being submitted pursuant to requirements set forth under the FCC's CC Docket 96-45, and demonstrates Alltel's intent to utilize any interim hold harmless funding only for the provision, maintenance, and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended.

Accordingly, the PUCO certifies that all of the above-referenced carriers have indicated in writing their intent to use the funding only for the provision, maintenance, and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended, consistent with § 254(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

No. of Copies rec'd ()
List ABCDE

State Office Tower / 30 East Broad Street / Columbus, Ohio 43215-3428 www.ag.state.oh.us

An Equal Opportunity Employer

Please send me a time-stamped copy of this letter in the enclosed self-addressed envelope (an extra copy of this latter is enclosed for that purposes). Otherwise, if you should have any questions or comments regarding this submittal, please direct them to Michael Dorrian, Utilities Specialist 1, at (614) 644-8102.

Respectfully Submitted

Betty D. Montgomery Attorney General of Ohio

Steven T. Nourse

Assistant Attorney General Public Utilities Section 180 East Broad Street Columbus, OH 43215-3793 (614) 466-4396

cc: Irene Flannery, Universal Service Administrative Company

BEFORE

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Commission)	
Investigation of the Intrastate Universal)	Case No. 97-632-TP-COI
Service Discounts.)	

FINDING AND ORDER

The Commission finds:

- (1) On May 7, 1997, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) issued a Report and Order in CC Docket 96-45 (96-45) (In the Matter of Federal-State Board on Universal Service) adopting rules to promote universal service consistent with the requirements of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (1996 Act). In its 96-45 decision, the FCC, among other things, set forth parameters for the states to determine those carriers eligible to receive federal universal service support. The states were further to determine those carriers that should be classified as rural carriers or non-rural carriers for the purpose of federal universal service support consistent with the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.
- On May 23, 2001, the FCC issued its Fourteenth Report and Order and Twenty-Second Order on Reconsideration in CC Docket No. 96-45. Among other things, the FCC determined that states are well suited and best positioned to determine whether rural carriers are using universal high cost support consistent with section 254(e) of the 1996 Act. Under section 254(e), carriers must use universal service support "only for the provision, maintenance, and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended." Given that states generally have primary authority over carriers' intrastate activities, the FCC indicated that the state certification process provides the most reliable means of determining whether carriers are, in fact, using such support in accordance with section 254(e). Consequently, the FCC concluded that it must receive from the states an annual filing certifying their respective carriers' compliance with the high-cost support requirements.
- (3) Accordingly, the FCC determined that it would require the states that wish to receive federal universal service high-cost support for rural carriers within their boundaries to file a certification with the FCC and the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) stating that all federal high-cost funds flowing to rural carriers in that state will be used in a manner consistent with section 254(e). Absent such certification, carriers will not receive such support. Moreover, in the event that a state determines that a carrier has not complied with section 254(e), the state shall have the authority to revoke the certification. The FCC required certifications to be submitted initially on October 1, 2001, for the first full year of implementation, January 1, 2002 to December 31, 2002.

(4) On August 2, 2001, the Commission issued a Finding and Order in the above-captioned proceeding, calling for all carriers receiving federal universal service high cost funding in Ohio to submit, by August 22, 2001, a notarized affidavit attesting that such high cost funding (specifically, high cost loop support [47 C.F.R., Part 36], local switching support [47 C.F.R. §54.301], and any high cost support received as a result of a purchase of exchanges [47 C.F.R. §54.305]) is being utilized consistent with section 254(e)of the 1996 Act. Accordingly, timely filed affidavits were received from the following carriers:

Arcadia Telephone Company The Arthur Mutual Telephone Company Ayersville Telephone Company Bascom Mutual Telephone Company Benton Ridge Telephone Company Buckland Telephone Company CenturyTel of Ohio, Inc. The Champaign Telephone Company The Chillicothe Telephone Company Columbus Grove Telephone Company The Conneaut Telephone Company Continental Telephone Company Doylestown Telephone Company Farmers Mutual Telephone Company The Fort Jennings Telephone Company Frontier Communications of Michigan, Inc. Germantown Independent Telephone Company Glandorf Telephone Company Kalida Telephone Company, Inc. Little Miami Communications Corporation McClure Telephone Company Middle Point Home Telephone Company Minford Telephone Company New Knoxville Telephone Company The Nova Telephone Company Oakwood Telephone Company Orwell Telephone Company The Ottoville Mutual Telephone Company Pattersonville Telephone Company Ridgeville Telephone Company Sherwood Mutual Telephone Company Sycamore Telephone Company Telephone Service Company Vanlue Telephone Company Vaughnsville Telephone Company Wabash Mutual Telephone Company

- The Commission's Staff has reviewed the affidavits submitted by the aforementioned companies, and has concluded that they satisfy the FCC's requirements for certification to receive high cost funding consistent with section 254(e) of the 1996 Act. therefore, recommends that these companies be certified by the Commission to the FCC and USAC as being in compliance with section 254(e) of the 1996 Act.
- The Commission concurs with Staff's recommendation and, therefore, finds that certification of the aforementioned rural carriers to receive federal high cost loop support [47 C.F.R., Part 36], local switching support [47 C.F.R. § 54.301], and any high cost support received as a result of a purchase of exchanges [47 C.F.R. § 54.305] should be granted.

It is, therefore,

ORDERED, That all rural carriers identified in Finding (4) above, are hereby certified to the FCC and USAC as being eligible to receive federal high cost loop support [47 C.F.R., Part 36], local switching support [47 C.F.R. §54.301], and any high cost support received as a result of a purchase of exchanges [47 C.F.R. §54.305], as such carriers have demonstrated their intent to utilize such funding in a manner consistent with section 254(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. It is, further,

ORDERED, That nothing contained in this Finding and Order shall be deemed binding upon this Commission in any subsequent investigation or proceeding involving the justness or reasonableness of any rate, charge, rule, or regulation. It is, further,

ORDERED. That a copy of this Finding and Order he served upon all interested

persons of record ir		g and Order be served upon an in	.CICSIC
	Sont	SCOMMISSION OF OHIO	
Ronda H	artman Fergus	Judit A. Jones	<u> </u>
Dona	ld L. Mason	Clarence D. Rogers, Jr.	
MGD:dj	Entered in the J SEP 13 2001	ourna!	

Affidavit:

As an authorized corporate officer of ALLTEL Ohio, Inc., I, under penalty of perjury, hereby affirm that federal universal service funds received for the purpose of providing interim hold harmless support will be used only for the provision, maintenance, and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended. (see 47 C.F.R. § 54.313)

Signature	Type or Print Name	Date
Her Mauren	Steve Mowery	9-20-2001

Subscribed and Sworn to before me this day of September, 2001.

Notary Public Jean Worse

My Commission Expires: Supernlu (2011